## Town of Ashland Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan Updated November 1, 2019

This document is the Town of Ashland's the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan, which demonstrates that the Town has:

- 1. Achieved and exceeded the POC reductions required at the end of the first permit cycle.
- 2. Calculated the full scope of offsets for existing development and new sources that are required to be made by the end of the second permit cycle; and,
- 3. Determined the methods that will be used to meet the reductions required by the end of the second permit cycle.

This Action Plan also includes:

- 1. A review of the current MS4 permit authority and implementation capabilities,
- 2. Existing, new, and modified legal authorities necessary to meet required reductions, if any;
- 3. An estimate of future grandfathered projects and their acreage, if any;
- 4. Expected costs for implementing the Action Plan; and,
- 5. A description of public comment process and period.

A draft Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan was submitted to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for review and approval with the Town of Ashland's Registration Statement for reissuance of the VPDES General Permit for Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) by June 1, 2018. The November 1, 2019, update to the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan is being submitted to DEQ to become effective in accordance with the MS4 General Permit that was issued by DEQ in 2018.

## **Permit Requirements**

## 1. Current program and existing legal authority

The following is a list of the Town's legal authorities that enable the Town to ensure compliance with this Action Plan:

- Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article I: Stormwater Management, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_I\_STO</u> <u>RMWATER\_MANAGEMENT</u>;
- b. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article III: Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_III\_CH</u> <u>ESAPEAKE\_BAY\_RESERVATION\_AREA</u>;
- c. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article V: Water Quality Protection, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_V\_WA</u> TER\_QUALITY\_PROTECTION;

- d. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article VI: Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems Management Program, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_VI\_MU</u> <u>NICIPAL\_SEPARATE\_STORM\_SEWER\_SYSTEM\_(MS-4)\_MANAGEMENT\_PROGRAM</u>;
- e. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 5: Erosion and Sediment Control, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=5\_EROSION\_AN\_D\_SEDIMENT\_CONTROL\*</u>;
- f. Town of Ashland's MS4 Program Plan, http://www.ashlandva.gov/DocumentCenter/View/42/MS4ProgramPlan;
- g. Town of Ashland Land Disturbance Permit, <u>http://www.ashlandva.gov/DocumentCenter/View/37/Land-Disturbing-Permit-Application;</u> and
- h. Town Agreement in Lieu of Erosion & Sediment Control, Water Quality, and Stormwater Management, <u>http://www.ashlandva.gov/DocumentCenter/View/36/Agreement-in-Lieu---Erosion--</u> Sediment-Control--Water-Quality--Stormwater-Managment.
- **2.** New or modified legal authority (General Permit, Part II.A.11.a) Any new or modified legal authorities, such as ordinances, permits, policy, specific contract language, orders, and interjurisdictional agreements, implemented or needing to be implemented to meet the requirements of Part II A 3, A 4, and A 5.

None required.

**3.** Load and Cumulative Reduction Calculations (General Permit Section Part II.A.11.b)) The load and cumulative reduction calculations for each river basin calculated in accordance with Part II A 3, A 4, and A 5.

Part II.A.3 Reduction requirements. No later than the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall reduce the load of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total suspended solids from existing developed lands served by the MS4 as of June 30, 2009, within the 2010 Census urbanized areas by at least 40% of the Level 2 (L2) Scoping Run Reductions. The 40% reduction is the sum of (i) the first phase reduction of 5.0% of the L2 Scoping Run Reductions based on the lands located within the 2000 Census urbanized areas required by June 30, 2018; (ii) the second phase reduction of at least 35% of the L2 Scoping Run based on lands within the 2000 Census urbanized areas required by June 30, 2023; and (iii) the reduction of at least 40% of the L2 Scoping Run , which shall only apply to the additional lands that were added by the 2010 expanded Census urbanized areas required by June 30, 2023. The required reduction shall be calculated using Tables 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d below as applicable.

Part II.A.4 No later than the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall offset 40% of the increased loads from new sources initiating construction between July 1, 2009, and June 30, 2019, and designed in accordance with 9VAC25-870 Part II C (9VAC25-870-93 et seq.) if the following conditions apply:

a. The activity disturbed one acre or greater; and

b. The resulting total phosphorous load was greater than 0.45 lb/acre/year, which is equivalent to an average land cover condition of 16% impervious cover.

The permittee shall utilize Table 4 of Part II A 5 to develop the equivalent pollutant load for nitrogen and total suspended solids for new sources meeting the requirements of this condition.

Part II.A.5 No later than the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall offset the increased loads from projects grandfathered in accordance with 9VAC25-870-48 that begin construction after July 1, 2014, if the following conditions apply:

a. The activity disturbs one acre or greater; and

b. The resulting total phosphorous load was greater than 0.45 lb/acre/year, which is equivalent to an average land cover condition of 16% impervious cover.
The permittee shall utilize Table 4 below to develop the equivalent pollutant load for nitrogen and total suspended solids for grandfathered sources meeting the requirements of this condition.

See Attachment 1 for Estimated Existing Source Loads: Table 2a (James River Basin) and Table 2b (York River Basin). Table 2 from General Permit First Cycle.

See Attachment 2 for Total POC Reductions Required During the First Permit Cycle: Tables 3a (James River Basin) Table 3d (York River Basin). Table 3 from General Permit First Cycle.

See Attachment 3 for Total POC Reductions Required During the Second Permit Cycle: Tables 3a (James River Basin) Table 3d (York River Basin). Table 3 from General Permit Second Cycle.

The MS4 General Permit requires post-construction stormwater runoff controls for:

- a. New development and development on prior developed lands that are defined as large construction activities or small construction activities in <u>9VAC25-870-10</u>;
- b. New development and development on prior developed lands that disturb greater than or equal to 2,500 square feet, but less than one acre, located in a Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area designated by a local government located in Tidewater, Virginia, as defined in § 62.1-44.15:68 of the Code of Virginia; and
- c. New development and development on prior developed lands where an applicable state regulation or local ordinance has designated a more stringent regulatory size threshold than that identified in subdivision "a" or "b" above.

The Town of Ashland's Ordinance requires that stormwater runoff controls be implemented for any land disturbing activity in excess of 2,500 s.f. There is an exception for single family residential development that is not part of a larger plan of development. Requirements for addressing stormwater runoff are described in the following sections of the Town Code:

 Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article I: Stormwater Management, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_I\_STO</u> <u>RMWATER\_MANAGEMENT</u>;

- b. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article III: Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_III\_CH</u> <u>ESAPEAKE\_BAY\_RESERVATION\_AREA</u>;
- c. Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 4.1, Article V: Water Quality Protection, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=ARTICLE\_VI\_MU</u> <u>NICIPAL\_SEPARATE\_STORM\_SEWER\_SYSTEM\_(MS-</u> 4)\_MANAGEMENT\_PROGRAM ; and
- Ashland, VA, Code of Ordinance Part II, Chapter 5: Erosion and Sediment Control, <u>https://ashland.municipalcodeonline.com/book?type=code#name=5\_EROSION\_AN\_D\_SEDIMENT\_CONTROL\*;</u>

Note that the Town of Ashland does not utilize an average land cover condition greater than 16% impervious cover for the design of post-development stormwater management facilities. Therefore, there are no New Source that disturbed one acre or greater as a result of the utilization of an average land cover condition greater than 16% impervious cover for the design of post-development stormwater management facilities.

For New Source that did not utilize an average impervious land cover condition greater than 16% for the design of post development stormwater management facilities additional offsets are not required beyond those for existing development. For New Source that disturbed less than 1 acre, additional offsets are also not required beyond those for existing development. However, if additional offsets were implemented, these offsets have been used to address the Town's total pollutant requirement.

The regulated area of Town in the James River Basin is 1,633.91 acres. This consists of 639.25 pervious acres, 439.73 impervious acres and excluded areas. Excluded areas for this calculation include 554.93 acres of forested land. Other Excluded areas include Interstate 95 and VDOT facilities, and Hanover County facilities totaling 9.25 acres impervious and 6.21 acres pervious. These facilities will we addressed under their respective MS4s.

The regulated area of Town in the York River Basin is 2,973.94 acres. This consists of 1,079.12 pervious acres, 732.04 impervious acres and excluded areas. Excluded areas for this calculation include 1,162.78 acres of forested land. Other Excluded areas may include Interstate 95 and VDOT facilities, and Hanover County facilities totaling 73.76 acres impervious and 74.48 acres pervious. These facilities will we addressed under their respective MS4s.

The impervious, pervious and forested land covers were calculated as follows. The Town began with the GIS dataset representing land cover (impervious, pervious, and forest) developed by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission (RRPDC). Next, the Town asked its consultant, Timmons Group, to review and verify the data. Timmons Group performed a desktop analysis of the land cover data, comparing it with the Town boundary and with the most current aerial imagery. Discrepancies between the RRPDC land cover data and the Town boundary were corrected by editing the shape file to reflect the actual Town boundary and the most current aerial imagery. To ensure that the topology of the data was clean and accurate, a summation of

the area for impervious, pervious, and forest was compared to the area encompassed by the Town. The analysis resulted in the following break-down of land cover: 23% impervious cover, 26% pervious cover, and 51% forested cover.

To further refine the RRPDC information, the forested area was digitized by hand using aerial photography. Hanover County impervious planimetric data generated in 2008, including road edge and building outlines, was then processed against the updated land cover data to provide better connectivity of impervious surfaces. Finally, the data was visually analyzed for errors and discrepancies against aerial imagery to produce the final breakdown of present land cover in the Town of Ashland.

**4.** Total reductions achieved as of July 1, 2018, for each pollutant of concern (*General Permit Part II.A.11.c*) The total reductions achieved as of July 1, 2018, for each pollutant of concern in each river basin.

Attachment 4 shows the management practices and retrofit programs (including improvements from redevelopment) that were implemented between July 1, 2009 and the end of the first permit cycle to achieve the 5.0% reductions required for existing development. Also included in Attachment 4 are the management practices and retrofit programs that have or will be implemented between July 1, 2018, and November 1, 2019.

**5.** List of BMPs implemented prior to July 1, 2018, to achieve reductions associated with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL (General Permit Part II.A.11.d) A list of BMPs implemented prior to July 1, 2018, to achieve reductions associated with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL including: (1) The date of implementation: and (2) the reductions achieved.

See Attachment 4.

**6. BMPs to be implemented by the permittee prior to the expiration of this permit** (*General Permit Part II.A.11.e*) *The BMPs to be implemented by the permittee prior to the expiration of this permit to meet the cumulative reductions calculated in Part II.A.3, A.4, and A.5, including as applicable: (1) Type of BMP; (2) Project name; (3) Location; (4) Percent removal efficiency for each pollutant of concern; and (5) Calculation of the reduction expected to be achieved by the BMP calculated and reported in accordance with the methodologies established in Part II.A.8 for each pollutant of concern.* 

See Attachment 5.

7. Summary of comments received (General Permit Part II.A.11.f) A summary of any comments received as a result of public participation required in Part II.A.12, the permittee's response, identification of any public meetings to address public concerns, and any revisions made to Chesapeake Bay TMDL action plan for no less than 15 days. (General Permit Part II.A.12) Prior to submittal of the action plan required in Part II A 11, the permittee shall provide an opportunity for public comment on the additional BMPs proposed to meet the reductions not previously approved by the department in the first phase Chesapeake Bay TMDL action plan 15 days.

The draft Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan will be posted on the Town's website for public comment for 15 days. At the conclusion of the 15 days, comments received will be taken into consideration when developing the final version of the Action Plan. The Town will continue to post the Action Plan on the website, and will give consideration to any further comments received.

# Attachment 1 **Town of Ashland**

		Total Existing		Estimated Total
		Acres Served	2009 EOS	POC Load Based
		by MS4	Loading Rate	on 2009
Subsource	Pollutant	(6/30/09)	(lbs/acre)	Progress Run
Regulated Urban				
Impervious	Nitrogon	430.48	9.39	4,042.21
Regulated Urban	Mitrogen			
Pervious		633.04	6.99	4,424.95
Regulated Urban				
Impervious	Dhocphorus	430.48	1.76	757.64
Regulated Urban	Phosphorus			
Pervious		633.04	0.5	316.52
Regulated Urban	Total			
Impervious	Susponded	430.48	676.94	291,409.13
Regulated Urban	Solida			
Pervious	201102	633.04	101.08	63,987.68

## Table 2 a: Calculation Sheet for Estimating Existing Source Loads for the James **River Basin**

# \* -

## Table 2 d: Calculation Sheet for Estimating Existing Source Loads for the York River Basin

		Total Existing		<b>Estimated Total</b>	
		Acres Served	2009 EOS	POC Load Based	
		by MS4	Loading Rate	on 2009	
Subsource	Pollutant	(6/30/09)	(lbs/acre)	Progress Run	
Regulated Urban					
Impervious	Nitrogon	658.28	7.31	4,812.03	
Regulated Urban	Mitrogen				
Pervious		1004.64	7.65	7,685.50	
Regulated Urban					
Impervious	Phoenhorus	658.28	1.51	994.00	
Regulated Urban	Phosphorus				
Pervious		1004.64	0.51	512.37	
Regulated Urban	Total				
Impervious	Suspended	658.28	456.68	300,623.31	
Regulated Urban	Solids				
Pervious	50103	1004.64	72.78	73,117.70	

## \*Based on Chesapeake Bay Program Watershed Model Phase 5.3.2

## Attachment 2 Town of Ashland

## Table 3 a: Calculation Sheet for Determining Total POC Reductions Required During the First Permit Cycle for the James River Basin

			First Permit		
		Total Existing	Required		
		Acres Served	Reduction in	<b>Total Reduction</b>	
		by MS4	Loading Rate	Required First	
Subsource	Pollutant	(6/30/09)	(lbs/acre)	Permit Cycle (lbs)	Totals (lbs)
Regulated Urban					
Impervious	Nitrogon	430.48	0.042255	18.19	21.46
Regulated Urban	Mitrogen				51.40
Pervious		633.04	0.02097	13.27	
Regulated Urban					
Impervious	Phosphorus	430.48	0.01408	6.06	7 21
Regulated Urban	Filosphorus				7.21
Pervious		633.04	0.0018125	1.15	
Regulated Urban	Total				
Impervious	Suspended	430.48	6.7694	2,914.09	2 10/ 05
Regulated Urban	Solide				5,154.05
Pervious	Solius	633.04	0.44225	279.96	

## \*Based on Chesapeake Bay Program Watershed Model Phase 5.3.2

### Table 3 d: Calculation Sheet for Determining Total POC Reductions Required During this Permit Cycle for the York River Basin

*Bas	sed on Chesapeal	ke Bay Program	Watershed Mo	del Phase 5.3.2	
					Γ

Subsource	Pollutant	Total Existing Acres Served by MS4 (6/30/09)	First Permit Cycle Required Reduction in Loading Rate (lbs/acre)	Total Reduction Required First Permit Cycle (lbs)	Totals (lbs)
Regulated Urban Impervious	Nitrogen	658.28	0.032895	21.65	44.71
Pervious		1004.64	0.02295	23.06	
Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban	Phosphorus	658.28	0.01208	7.95	9.81
Pervious		1004.64	0.00184875	1.86	
Regulated Urban	Total	658.28	4.6	3 028 09	
Regulated Urban Pervious	Suspended Solids	1004.64	0.3184125	319.89	3,347.98

### Attachment 3 Town of Ashland

### Table 3a

### Calculation Sheet for Estimating Existing Source Loads and Reduction Requirements for the James River, Lynnhaven, and

Little Creek Basins During the Second Permit Cycle

		А	В	С	D	E	F	G
Pollutant	Subsource	Loading Rate (Ibs/ac/yr) <sup>1</sup>	Existing developed lands as of 6/30/09 served by the MS4 within the 2010 CUA (acres) <sup>2</sup>	Loading (lbs/yr) <sup>3</sup>	MS4 required Chesapeake Bay total L2 loading rate reduction	Percentage of L2 required reduction by 6/30/2023	40% cumulative reduction Required by 6/30/2023 (lbs/yr) <sup>4</sup>	Sum of 40% cumulative reduction (lb/yr) <sup>5</sup>
Nitrogen	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	9.39	430	4,042	9%	40%	146	- 252
Phosphorus	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	1.76 0.5	430 633	758	16% 7.25%	40%	48 9.18	- 58
Total Suspended Solids	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	677	430 633	291,409 63,988	20% 8.75%	40% 40%	23,313 2,240	25,552

<sup>1</sup>Edge of stream loading rate based on the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Progress Run 5.3.2.

<sup>2</sup>To determine the existing developed acres required in Column B, permittees should first determine the extent of their regulated service area based on the 2010 Census Urbanized Area (CUA). Next, permittees will need to delineate the lands within the 2010 CUA served by the MS4 as pervious or impervious as of the baseline date of June 30, 2009.

<sup>3</sup>Column C = Column A x Column B.

<sup>4</sup>Column F = Column C x (Column D ÷ 100) x (Column E ÷ 100).

<sup>5</sup>Column G = The sum of the subsource cumulative reduction required by 6/30/23 (lbs/yr) as calculated in Column F.

			Basin Du	iring the Second P	ermit Cycle			
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G
Dellaterat	<u>Colorente</u>	Loading Rate	Existing developed lands as of 6/30/09 served by the MS4 within the	Leeding ((k. 6. v.) <sup>3</sup>	MS4 required Chesapeake Bay total L2 loading rate	Percentage of L2 required reduction by	40% cumulative reduction Required by 6/30/2023	Sum of 40% cumulative
Pollularit	Subsource	(IDS/aC/yr)	2010 COA (acres)	Loading (IDS/yr)	reduction	0/30/2023	(ibs/yr)	reduction (Ib/yr)
Nitrogen	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	7.31	658.28 1,004.64	4,812	9% 6%	40% 40%	173	358
Phosphorus	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	1.51	658.28 1,004.64	994 512	16% 7.25%	40% 40%	64	78
Total Suspended Solids	Regulated Urban Impervious Regulated Urban Pervious	457	658.28 1,004.64	300,623 73,118	20% 8.75%	40% 40%	24,050	26,609

Table 3d Calculation Sheet for Estimating Existing Source Loads and Reduction Requirements for the York River and Poquoson Coastal

<sup>1</sup>Edge of stream loading rate based on the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Progress Run 5.3.2.

<sup>2</sup>To determine the existing developed acres required in Column B, permittees should first determine the extent of their regulated service area based on the 2010 Census Urbanized Area (CUA). Next, permittees will need to delineate the lands within the 2010 CUA served by the MS4 as pervious or impervious as of the baseline date of June 30, 2009.

<sup>3</sup>Column C = Column A x Column B.

<sup>4</sup>Column F = Column C x (Column D  $\div$  100) x (Column E  $\div$  100).

<sup>5</sup>Column G = The sum of the subsource cumulative reduction required by 6/30/23 (lbs/yr) as calculated in Column F.

#### Attachment 4

#### Town of Ashland Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

				Credits	s for BMPs in R	egulated Url	oan Areas for	the James R	iver Basin				
								BMP			POC		
	Date					Loading	Estimated	Removal	Estimated	Total POC	Remaining		
	Installed	Location or			Drainage	Rate	POC Load	Efficiency	Removal of	Removal by	Post-		Removal Rate
BMPs	or Planned	Project	Subsource	Pollutant	Area to BMP	(lbs/acre)	to BMP	for POC	POC	BMP	Develop	Status	References
Retro-Fits													
			Impervious	Nitrogen	1.00	9.39	9.39	VRRM	9.39	9 39		Ap	Appendix V.F
		Town of	Pervious	Mittogen		6.99	0.00		0.00	5.55			CBTM
Permeable Paver & Rain	2012	Ashland	Impervious	Phosphorus	1.00	1.76	1.76	VRRM	1.76	1 76		Completed	Appendix V.F
Garden Treatment Train	2012	Municipal Parking Lot	Pervious	Thosphorus		0.5	0.00		0.00	1.70		compicted	CBTM
			Impervious	тсс	1.00	677	677	VRRM	677	677			Appendix V.F
			Pervious	155		101	0.00		0.00	0//			CBTM
		Adjacent	Impervious	Nitrogen	0.30	9.39	2.82	25%	0.70	0 70			
		toTown of	Pervious	Millogen		6.99	0.00	25%	0.00	0.70			Table V.A.1 CBTM
Grass Strip	Grass Strip 2012 Ashland Municipal Parking Lot	Ashland	Impervious	Phosphorus	0.30	1.76	0.53	25%	0.13	0.13		Completed	
or door of the p		Municipal	Pervious	i nospiloras		0.5	0.00	25%	0.00	0120	completed	completed	Table V.A.1 CBTM
		Parking Lot	Impervious	TSS	0.30	677	203	50%	102	102			Table V.C.1 CBTM
		. anning 200	Pervious	133		101	0.00	50%	0.00	102			
			Impervious	rvious Nitrogen	0.48	9.39	4.51	59%	2.66	2.66	1.85		
Permeable Paver		Railroad Ave	Pervious			6.99	0.00	59%	0.00				Table V.A.1 CBTM
	2014	Street-scape	Impervious	Phosphorus	0.48	1.76	0.84	59%	0.50	0.50	0.35	Completed	
	-	Phase 1	Pervious			0.5	0.00	59%	0.00				Table V.A.1 CBTM
			Impervious	TSS	0.48	677	325	55%	179	179	146		Table V.C.1 CBTM
			Pervious			101	0.00	55%	0.00				
			Impervious	Nitrogen	0.15	9.39	1.41	64%	0.90	0.90	0.51		
		Railroad Ave	Pervious	8 -		6.99	0.00	64%	0.00				Table V.A.1 CBTM
Bioretention area	2014	Street-scape	Impervious	Phosphorus	0.15	1.76	0.26	55%	0.15	0.15	0.12	Completed	
		Phase 1	Pervious			0.5	0.00	55%	0.00				Table V.A.1 CBTM
			Impervious	TSS	0.15	677	102	55%	56	56	46		Table V.C.1 CBTM
			Pervious			101	0.00	55%	0.00				
			Impervious	Nitrogen	0.12	9.39	1.13	64%	0.72	2.38	1.34		
			Pervious	-	0.37	6.99	2.59	64%	1.66				Table V.A.1 CBTM
Bioretention area	2009	Hanover Ave	Impervious	Phosphorus	0.12	1.76	0.21	55%	0.12	0.22	0.18	Completed	
			Pervious		0.37	0.5	0.19	55%	0.10				Table V.A.1 CBTM
			Impervious	TSS	0.12	6//	81	55%	45	65	53		Table V.C.1 CBTM
			Pervious		0.37	101	37.40	55%	21				
			Impervious	Nitrogen	1.01	9.39	9.48	10%	0.95	3.16	28		Table V.J.1 CBTM
			Pervious	-	3.17	6.99	22.16	10%	2.22				
Dry Pond	2012	Kempsville/	Impervious	Phosphorus	1.01	1.76	1.78	15%	0.27	0.50	2.86	Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
		Carter Lumber	Pervious		3.17	0.5	1.59	15%	0.24				
			Dervieus	TSS	1.01	b// 101	084 220	10%	68	100	904		Table V.J.1 CBTM
			rervious		5.17	TOT	320	10/0	52				

#### Attachment 4 Town of Ashland

### Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

			Impervious Pervious	Nitrogen	0.12 0.37	9.39 6.99	1.13 2.59		0.00	0.00	3.71		BMP Clearing House
Filtorra	2015	Duncan Street	Impervious	Phosphorus	0.12	1.76	0.21	50%	0.11	0.20	0.20	Completed	BMP Clearing
Filteria	2015	Duncan Street	Pervious	Filospilorus	0.37	0.5	0.19	50%	0.09	0.20	0.20	completed	House
			Impervious	тсс	0.12	677	81.23		0.00	0.00	110		BMP Clearing
			Pervious	133	0.37	101	37.40		0.00	0.00	119		House
Street Sweeeping <sup>3</sup>	Date Installed	Location or	Average Miles		Removal Rate				Estimated Removal of	Total POC			
	or Planned	Project	Driven/ Year	POC	(lbs/Mile)				POC	Removal		Status	
		Throughout	1,040	Nitrogen	0.155				161	161			EPRSSDC
Street Sweeeping	On going	watershed	1,040	Phosphorus	0.0579				60	60		On going	EPRSSDC
		watersned	1,040	TSS	78				81,148	81,148			EPRSSDC
												Remaining	Achieved
				Total Re	quired N Rem	oval by 2018	31	Тс	otal N Removed	180		-149	Yes
				Total Re	equired P Rem	oval by 2018	7.21	Т	otal P Removed	64		-56	Yes
				Total Req	uired TSS Rem	oval by 2018	3,194	Tota	al TSS Removed	82,327		-79,133	Yes
												Remaining	Achieved
				Total Re	quired N Rem	oval by 2023	252	Тс	otal N Removed	180		71	No
				Total Re	equired P Rem	oval by 2023	58	Т	otal P Removed	64		-6	Yes
				Total Req	uired TSS Rem	oval by 2023	25,552	Tota	al TSS Removed	82,262		-56,709	Yes

Credit for POC	Removed Durin	ıg First Permit	Cycle to be Applied D	uring Second Permit								
	Cycle											
		Annual Avg.	Remaider After									
	Remaining	Street	Accounting for	Credit to be								
	POC After	POC After Sweeping Annual Street Applied to Second										
POC	First Cycle	Removal	Sweeping	Permit Cycle								
Nitrogen	-149	161	12	0								
Phosphorus	-56	60	4	0								
TSS	-79,133	81,148	2,015	0								

### Credits for BMPs in Regulated Urban Areas for the York River Basin

PAADo	Date Installed or Planned	Location or	Subsource	POC	Drainage Area to BMP	2009 EOS Loading Rate (lbs/acre)	Estimated POC Load	BMP Removal Efficiency for POC	Estimated Removal of	Total POC Removal	POC Remaining Post- Develop	Status	Removal Rate	
Betro-Fits	or mannea	Hojeet	Jubsource	100	(ac)	(103/ 461 6)	to bivin	101100	100	Removal	Develop	Status	Reference	
Retro-Fits														
			Impervious	Nitrogen	4.86	7.31	35.53	VRRM	36	60	0.00		Appendix V.F	
			Pervious	Millogen	3.14	7.65	24.02	VRRM	24	00	0.00		CBTM	
Permeable Paver & Rain	2012	Callaga Dark	Impervious	Dhocphorus	4.86	1.51	7.34	VRRM	7.34	8 0 <i>1</i>	0.00	Completed	Appendix V.F	
Garden Treatment Train	2015	College Park	Pervious	Phosphorus	3.14	0.51	1.60	VRRM	1.60	0.94	0.00	Completed	CBTM	
			Impervious	TCC	4.86	456.68	2,219.46	VRRM	2219	2.440	9 2 4 4 9 9 9 9 9	0.00		Appendix V.F
			Pervious	155	3.14	72.78	228.53	VRRM	229	2,440	0.00		CBTM	

#### Attachment 4

#### Town of Ashland Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

			Impervious Pervious	Nitrogen	0.08	7.31	0.58	59%	0.35	1.70	1.18		Table V & 1 CBTM
			Impervious		0.30	1.05	0.12	50%	1.33				Table V.A.I CDIW
Permeable Paver	2015	APD Parking Lot	Pervious	Phosphorus	0.30	0.51	0.12	59%	0.09	0.16	0.11	Completed	Table V.A.1 CBTM
			Impervious	700	0.08	456.68	36.53	55%	20	22			
			Pervious	155	0.30	72.78	21.83	55%	12	32	26		Table V.C.1 CBTM
			Impervious		0.27	7.31	1.97	59%	1.16				
			Pervious	Nitrogen		7.65	0.00	59%	0.00	1.16	0.81		Table V.A.1 CBTM
	2015	Railroad Ave	Impervious		0.27	1.51	0.41	59%	0.24				
Permeable Paver	2015	Street-scape	Pervious	Phosphorus		0.51	0.00	59%	0.00	0.24	0.17	Completed	Table V.A.1 CBTM
		Phase 2	Impervious	700	0.27	456.68	123.30	55%	68	60			
			Pervious	155		72.78	0.00	55%	0.00	68	55		Table V.C.1 CBTM
Redevelopment													
•			Impervious		0.73	7.31	5.34	10%	0.53		45		
			Pervious	Nitrogen	1.48	7.65	11.32	10%	1.13	1.67	15		Table V.J.1 CBTM
		RMC Soccer	Impervious		0.73	1.51	1.10	15%	0.17		_		
Dry Pond	2010	Field Restrooms	Pervious	Phosphorus	1.48	0.51	0.75	15%	0.11	0.28	1.58	Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
			Impervious		0.73	456.68	333.38	10%	33	44			
			Pervious	TSS	1.48	72.78	107.71	10%	11	44	397		Table V.J.1 CBTM
			Impervious		0.43	7.31	3.14	43%	1.35				
RMC	. RMC Freshman	Pervious	Nitrogen	0.00	7.65	0.00	43%	0.00	1.35	1.79			
		Impervious		0.43	1.51	0.65	70%	0.45					
Rainwater Harvesting	Rainwater Harvesting 2011	Dorms	Pervious	Phosphorus	0.00	0.51	0.00	70%	0.00	0.45	0.19	Completed	
			Impervious	z vious	0.43	456 68	196 37	85%	167	4.67		1	
			Pervious	TSS	0.00	72.78	0.00	85%	0.00	167	29		
			Impervious		0.35	7 31	2 56	0%	0.00				BMP Clearing
			Pervious	Nitrogen	0.06	7.65	0.46	0%	0.00	0.00	3.02		House
		RMC Freshman	Impervious		0.35	1 51	0.53	50%	0.26				BMP Clearing
Filterra	2011	Dorms	Pervious	Phosphorus	0.06	0.51	0.03	50%	0.20	0.28	0.28	Completed	House
		Donnis	Impervious		0.35	456.68	150.8/	0%	0.00				BMP Clearing
			Pervious	TSS	0.06	72.78	4 37	0%	0.00	0.00	164		House
			Impervious		0.00	7 31	66.74	30%	20		l.		House
			Pervious	Nitrogen	3.13	7.51	28 53	30%	8 56	20	47		Table V A 1 CRTM
			Impervious		0.13	1.03	13 70	50%	6.30				Table V.A.I CBTIVI
Wet Pond	2012	Chick-Fil-A	Pervious	Phosphorus	3.13	0.51	1 90	50%	0.85	6.89	6.89	Completed	Table V A 1 CRTM
			Impervious		9.13	456.68	1.50	60%	2502				Table V.A.I CBTW
			Pervious	TSS	3.73	72.78	271.47	60%	163	2,502	1,668		Table V.C.1 CBTM
			Impervious		0.03	7 31	0.22	64%	0.14				
			Pervious	Nitrogen	0.03	7.65	2.60	64%	1.66	1.80	1.02		Table V A 1 CPTM
Bioretention 2012		BMC Brock	Impervious		0.34	1 51	0.05	55%	0.02	2		1	TABLE V.A.I COTIVI
	Commons	Pervious	Impervious Pervious Phosphorus	0.03	0.51	0.05	55%	0.02	0.12 0.10	0.10	10 Completed	Table V & 1 CBTM	
		Commons Pervi	Pervious	0.34	456.68	13 70	55%	7 54	10 54			TUDIE V.A.I COTIVI	
			Pervious	TSS	0.03	72.78	24.75	55%	14	21	17		Table V.C.1 CBTM
	1	1			0.54	, 2.70	27.75	JJ/0	14		1		

Attachment	4

Town of Ashland Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

Street Sweeeping <sup>3</sup>	Date Installed or Planned	Location or Project	Average Miles Driven/ Year	POC	Removal Rate (lbs/LF)				Estimated Removal of POC	Total POC Removal	Status	
		Throughout	1,561	Nitrogen	0.155				242	242		EPRSSDC
Street Sweeeping	On going	watershed	1,561	Phosphorus	0.0579				90	90	On going	EPRSSDC
		watersneu	1,561	TSS	78				121,722	121,722		EPRSSDC
Stream Restorations <sup>2</sup>	Date Installed	Location or			Removal				Estimated Removal of	Total POC		
	or Planned	Project	Length (LF)	POC	Rate (lbs/LF)				POC	Removal	Status	
		Cottage Green	1204	Nitrogen	0.075				90	90		Table V.J.1 CBTM
Mechumps Creek 1	2010	Dr to Hill Carter	1204	Phosphorus	0.068				82	82	Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
		Pkwy	1204	TSS	44.88				54,036	54,036		Table V.J.1 CBTM
			210	Nitrogen	0.075				16	16		Table V.J.1 CBTM
Mechumps Creek by APD	2015	Adjacent to APD	210	Phosphorus	0.068				14	14	Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
			210	TSS	44.88				9,425	9,425		Table V.J.1 CBTM
											Remaining	Achieved?
				Total Re	quired N Rem	oval by 2018	45	То	tal N Removed	435	-390	Yes
				Total Re	equired P Rem	oval by 2018	10	Тс	otal P Removed	204	-194	Yes
				Total Req	uired TSS Rem	oval by 2018	3,348	Tota	I TSS Removed	190,464	-187,116	Yes

						2009 EOS		BMP			POC		
Datus Fits	Date				Drainage	Loading	Estimated	Removal	Estimated		Remaining		
Retro-Fits	Installed	Location or			Area to BMP	Rate	POC Load	Efficiency	Removal of	Total POC	Post-		
	or Planned	Project	Subsource	POC	(ac)	(lbs/acre)	to BMP	for POC	POC	Removal	Develop	Status	
			Impervious	Nitrogen	2.40	7.31	17.54	VRRM	2.40	2.40	15		Appendix V.F
		Town's	Pervious	Nitrogen		7.65	0.00		0.00	2.40	15		CBTM
New Extended Detention	2010	Maintenance	Impervious	Phosphorus	2.40	1.51	3.62	VRRM	0.49	0.49	2 1 2	Completed	Appendix V.F
Basins	2015	Facility on	Pervious	Filosphorus		0.51	0.00		0.00	0.49	5.15	completeu	CBTM
		Vaughan Road	Impervious	тсс	2.40	456.68	1,096.03	VRRM	1006	1 006	90		Appendix V.F
			Pervious	155		72.78	0.00		0	1,000	30		CBTM
c	Date								Estimated				
Stream Restorations	Installed	Location or			Removal				Removal of	Total POC			
	or Planned	Project	Length (LF)	POC	Rate (lbs/LF)				POC	Removal		Status	
Machumps Crook Bhasa		Hill Cartor Blank	1274	Nitrogen	0.075				96	96			Table V.J.1 CBTM
in in the second s	2018		1274	Phosphorus	0.068				87	87		Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
"		101-95	1274	TSS	44.88				57,177	57,177			Table V.J.1 CBTM
												Remaining	Achieved?
				Total Re	quired N Rem	oval by 2023	358	То	otal N Removed	533		-175	Yes
				Total Re	equired P Rem	oval by 2023	78	Тс	otal P Removed	291		-213	Yes
				Total Req	uired TSS Rem	oval by 2023	26,609	Tota	al TSS Removed	248,647		-222,038	Yes

#### Attachment 4 Town of Ashland Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

Credit for POC	Removed Durin	g First Permit	Cycle to be Applied Du	uring Second Permit							
Cycle											
	Remaining	Annual Avg.	Remaider After								
	POC Credit	Street	Accounting for	Credit to be							
	After First	Sweeping	Annual Street	Applied to Second							
POC	Cycle	Removal	Sweeping	Permit Cycle							
Nitrogen	-390	242	-149	149							
Phosphorus	-194	90	-104	104							
TSS	-187,116	121,722	-65,394	65,394							

Notes:

1. Abreviations for Reference:

CBTM: Chesapeake Bay TMDL Special Condition Guidance Manual, May 18, 2015 EPRSSDC: Expert Panel Report on Street and Storm Drain Cleaning, May 19, 2016

2. Calculations for pollutant removal by Stream Restorations were performed as follows in accordance with Appendix V.J - Urban Stream Restoration of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Special Condition Guidance Manual

#### Table V.J.1 – Urban Stream Restoration Interim Approved Removal Rates

BMPs	How Credited	lbs TN/LF	lbs TP/LF	lbs TSS/LF
	Mass Reduction/Length			44.88/
Stream Restoration	(lbs/L.F.)	0.075	0.068	15.13*
Mechumps Creek 1	1204	90	82	54,036
Mechumps Creek by APD	210	16	14	9,425
Mechumps Creek 2	1274	96	87	57,177

\*The value that should be used to calculate reductions for sediment is dependent on the project's location. Projects located outside the coastal plain should use 44.88 lbs TSS/linear ft. Projects located within the coastal zone should use 15.13 lbs TSS/linear ft.

#### Attachment 4 Town of Ashland

### Attachment 4: BMPs Implemented by the Town of Ashland Up to Nov. 1, 2019

3. Street cleaning credit for lane miles swept based on Street Cleaning Practice-4 (SCP-4) of 1 pass every 4 weeks by advanced sweeping technology.

60% of the impervious area in Town is in the York River Watershed, and 40% is in the James River Watershed.

Watershed	% Imp. Area in Town	Miles. Driven/Year	
James River	40%	1,040	
York River	60%	1,561	

	Miles	Actual lbs.
	Driven per	Collected per
Year	year	year
FY 14-15	301	73,317
FY 15-16	1159	504,426
FY 16-17	4243	572,438
FY 17-18	4845	1,097,267
FY 18-19	2456.5	472,120
Average	2600.9	543,914

	Estimating Po	llutant Reduc	tion by a Local S	Street Cleaning	g Program					
SCP*         Removal Rate (%) <sup>1</sup> Mass Removed (lbs) <sup>2</sup> /mile										
501	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	TN	TP				
SCP-4	6	1	3	78	0.155	0.0579				
<sup>1</sup> From Tabl	From Table 17, and assume one curb mile equals an acre. Table 17 is from Recommendations of									
<sup>2</sup> Assume ar	Assume annual load from impervious cover of 1,300 lbs/ac/year (sediment), 15.5 lbs/ac/yr									
(nitrogen) a	nitrogen) and 1.93 lbs/ac/yr (phosphorus)Table 4 (EPRSSDC)									
SCP* = Stre	et Cleaning Praction	ce								

#### Attachment 5

#### Town of Ashland Attachment 5: BMPs to be Implemented by the Town Before the Expiration of the Permit

### Credits for BMPs in Regulated Urban Areas for the James River Basin

Street Sweeeping <sup>3</sup>	Date Installed or Planned	Location or Project	Average Miles Driven/ Year	POC	Removal Rate (Ibs/LF)				Estimated Removal of POC	Total POC Removal	Status	
		Throughout	1,040	Nitrogen	0.155				161	161		EPRSSDC
Street Sweeeping	On going	watershed	1,040	Phosphorus	0.0579				60	60	On going	EPRSSDC
		watershed	1,040	TSS	78				81,148	81,148		EPRSSDC
Stream Restorations <sup>2</sup>	Date Installed	Location or			Removal				Estimated Removal of	Total POC		
	or Planned	Project	Length (LF)	POC	Rate (Ibs/LF)				POC	Removal	Status	
	2019 to	East of Rte. 1	145	Nitrogen	0.075				11	11		Table V.J.1 CBTM
Licking Hole Creek	2013 10	and South of	145	Phosphorus	0.068				9.86	9.86	Future	Table V.J.1 CBTM
	2025	Dow Gil Rd.	145	TSS	44.88				6,508	6,508		Table V.J.1 CBTM
	2010 to	South of	1100	Nitrogen	0.075				83	83		Table V.J.1 CBTM
Stony Run	2013 10	Hanover Ave.	1100	Phosphorus	0.068				75	75	Future	Table V.J.1 CBTM
	2025	East of Lee Ave	1100	TSS	44.88				49,368	49,368		Table V.J.1 CBTM
											Remaining	Achieved?
				Total Re	equired N Rem	oval by 2023	252	Тс	otal N Removed	255	-3	Yes
				Total R	equired P Rem	oval by 2023	58	To	otal P Removed	145	-87	Yes
				Total Req	uired TSS Rem	oval by 2023	25,552	Tota	al TSS Removed	137,024	-111,471	Yes

#### Credits for BMPs in Regulated Urban Areas for the York River Basin

						2009 EOS		BMP			POC		
	Date				Drainage	Loading	Estimated	Removal	Estimated		Remaining		
	Installed	Location or			Area to BMP	Rate	POC Load	Efficiency	Removal of	Total POC	Post-		Removal Rate
BMPs	or Planned	Project	Subsource	POC	(ac)	(lbs/acre)	to BMP	for POC	POC	Removal	Develop	Status	Reference
Retro-Fits													
			Impervious	Nitrogen	2.40	7.31	17.54	VRRM	2.40	2.40	15		Appendix V.F
		Town's	Pervious	Mitrogen		7.65	0.00		0.00	2.40	15		CBTM
New Extended Detention	2010	Maintenance	Impervious	Phosphorus	2.40	1.51	3.62	VRRM	0.49	0.49	2 1 2	Completed	Appendix V.F
Basins	2015	Facility on	Pervious	Filospilorus		0.51	0.00		0.00	0.49	5.15	completed	CBTM
		Vaughan Road	Impervious	тсс	2.40	456.68	1,096.03	VRRM	1006	1.006	00		Appendix V.F
			Pervious	155		72.78	0.00		0	1,006	90		CBTM
Street Sweeping <sup>3</sup>	Date		Average						Estimated				
Street Sweeeping	Installed	Location or	Miles		Removal				Removal of	Total POC			
	or Planned	Project	Driven/ Year	POC	Rate (lbs/LF)				POC	Removal		Status	
		Throughout	1,561	Nitrogen	0.155				242	242			EPRSSDC
Street Sweeeping	On going	watershed	1,561	Phosphorus	0.0579				90	90		On going	EPRSSDC
		watersneu	1,561	TSS	78				121,722	121,722			EPRSSDC

Stream Restorations <sup>2</sup>	Date Installed or Planned	Location or Project	Length (LF)	POC	Removal Rate (lbs/LF)				Estimated Removal of POC	Total POC Removal	Status	
Mechumps Creek, Phase		Hill Carter Pkwy	1274	Nitrogen	0.075				96	96		Table V.J.1 CBTM
	2018	to I-95	1274	Phosphorus	0.068				87	87	Completed	Table V.J.1 CBTM
			1274	TSS	44.88				57,177	57,177		Table V.J.1 CBTM
										Credit from		
										1st to 2nd		
										Cycle (see		
									POC	Attach. 4)		
									Nitrogen	149		
									Phosphorus	104		
									TSS	65,394		
											Remaining	Achieved?
				Total R	equired N Rem	ioval by 2023	358	Тс	otal N Removed	488	-131	Yes
				Total R	equired P Rem	ioval by 2023	78	Т	otal P Removed	281	-203	Yes
				Total Rec	uired TSS Rem	ioval by 2023	26,609	Tota	al TSS Removed	245,299	-218,690	Yes

#### Notes:

1. Abreviations for Reference:

CBTM: Chesapeake Bay TMDL Special Condition Guidance Manual, May 18, 2015 EPRSSDC: Expert Panel Report on Street and Storm Drain Cleaning, May 19, 2016

2. Calculations for pollutant removal by Stream Restorations were performed as follows in accordance with Appendix V.J - Urban Stream Restoration of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Special Condition Guidance Manual

BMPs	How Credited	lbs TN/LF	lbs TP/LF	lbs TSS/LF
	Mass Reduction/Length			44.88/
Stream Restoration	(lbs/L.F.)	0.075	0.068	15.13*

### Table V.J.1 – Urban Stream Restoration Interim Approved Removal Rates

		lbs TN	lbs TP	lbs TSS
Project	Length (LF)	Removed	Removed	Removed
Mechumps Creek 1	1204	90.30	81.87	54,035.52
Mechumps Creek by APD	210	15.75	14.28	9,424.80
Mechumps Creek 2	1195	89.63	81.26	53,631.60

\*The value that should be used to calculate reductions for sediment is dependent on the project's location. Projects located outside the coastal plain should use 44.88 lbs TSS/linear ft. Projects located within the coastal zone should use 15.13 lbs TSS/linear ft.

3. Street cleaning credit for lane miles swept based on Street Cleaning Practice-4 (SCP-4) of 1 pass every 4 weeks by advanced sweeping technology. The Town calculated average miles of street driven since FY 09-10 (see below). At a minimum, this amount will be driven throughout the rest of the permit cycle.

60% of the impervious area in Town is in the York River Watershed, and 40% is in the James River Watershed.

	% Imp.		
	Area in	Average miles.	
Watershed	Town	Driven/Year	
James River	40%	1,040	
York River	60%	1,561	

	Miles	Actual lbs.	
	Driven per	Collected per	
Year	year	year	
FY 14-15	301	73,317	Bad year because Town losts its street sweeper operator
FY 15-16	1159	504,426	
FY 16-17	4243	572,438	
FY 17-18	4845	1,097,267	
FY 18-19	2456.5	472,120	
Average	2600.9	543,914	

Estimating Pollutant Reduction by a Local Street Cleaning Program						
SCP*	Removal Rate (%) <sup>1</sup>			Mass Removed (lbs) <sup>2</sup> /mile		
	TSS	TN	TP	TSS	TN	ТР
SCP-4	6	1	3	78	0.155	0.0579
<sup>1</sup> From Table 17, and assume one curb mile equals an acre. Table 17 is from <i>Recommendations of</i>						
<sup>2</sup> Assume annual load from impervious cover of 1,300 lbs/ac/year (sediment), 15.5 lbs/ac/yr						
SCP* = Street Cleaning Practice						